

From: [NectonSubstationAction Messenger](#)
To: [Norfolk Boreas](#)
Subject: Deadline 6/7
Date: 05 March 2020 17:32:53

Dear Planning Inspectorate

We hope you might allow this representation to go into deadline 6, but if not, then 7 please.

<https://infrastructure.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/wp-content/ipc/uploads/projects/EN010087/EN010087-000241-Consultation%20Report%20Appendix%2009.31%20-%20Norfolk%20Vanguard%20Landscape%20and%20Visual%20Impact%20and%20Land%20Use%20minutes.pdf>

We have already questioned the applicant's assertion that someone at this meeting above, which you have kindly published the minutes for said, *'the preference of the consultees was not to have large bunds around the onshore project substation as it was considered that this would appear incongruous with the local landscape character. (Section 6.5.1.1 and 6.5.2.1 of OLEMS [REP1-020].*

On checking the minutes of the meeting, neither this exact statement or anything like it was made by anyone there.

Therefore we contend that the applicant has been dishonest with the ExA and ourselves, unless they can provide other minutes for another meeting where it was said. As this is the meeting that we were told in an email by [REDACTED] contained the discussions concerning landscaping, we doubt this is the case.

This statement has formed the very heart and only reason for, the applicant's refusal to create earth bunds around the substations, which is what all local people want, we now ask that the DAS should contain provision for large earth bunds.

NSAG